# 1 Supplemental Materials

- 2 Landscape of the gut mycobiome dynamics during pregnancy and its
- 3 relationship with host metabolism and pregnancy health
- 4
- 5 This file includes:

#### 6 Methods

- 7 (1) Study population
- 8 (2) Sample collection
- 9 (3) Questionnaires and clinical data collection
- 10 (4) Bioinformatic analyses
- 11 (5) Statistical analysis
- 12

#### 13 Supplemental Figure S1 to S2

- 14 (1) Supplemental Figure S1. Supplementary Table S1: Characteristics of
- 15 demographic, clinical and dietary factors stratified by early-pregnancy fungal
- 16 enterotypes.
- 17 (2) Supplemental Figure S2. The association between changes in consumption of food
- 18 groups during pregnancy and alterations in gut fungal richness.

# Gut

# 20 Methods

# 21 Study population

- The current study was based on the Tongji-Huaxi-Shuangliu Birth Cohort (THSBC), 22 23 in which pregnant women aged 18 to 41 years were recruited during the early 24 pregnancy when they presented to antenatal care clinics in in a local maternal and 25 child health hospital during their early pregnancy. Exclusion criteria were 1) receiving infertility treatment (e.g., in vitro fertilization or intrauterine insemination); 2) 26 27 reporting severe chronic or infectious diseases (e.g., cancer, HIV infection, or 28 tuberculosis); or 3) were unable to or refused to sign the informed consent. The 29 THSBC study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology (No. [2017](S225)-1), and informed 30 31 consent was obtained from all participants. 32 33 In the present analysis, we included 4800 participants who had available ITS2 34 sequencing data, dietary information and clinical records during their pregnancy. This 35 dataset enables us to comprehensively profile the gut mycobiome among pregnant women and investigate potential determinants contributing to the variations of gut 36 37 mycobiome. To examine how pregnancy impacts the gut mycobiome over time and investigate their potential associations with host metabolism, we established a sub-38 39 cohort of 1059 participants, which included 514 women who gave birth to preterm 40 (n=240), low birthweight (n=137), or macrosomia (n=216) infants, as well as 545 randomly selected participants who did not experience the above three adverse 41
- 42 pregnancy outcomes.
- 43

ITS2 sequencing was performed for all the 4800 participants, while the shotgun metagenomics sequencing was performed for T1 samples within the established subcohort (n=1059). Additionally, within the sub-cohort, 750 and 748 participants had ITS2 and 16S sequencing data available, respectively, for all trimesters. We also repeatedly measured serum metabolome throughout each trimester of pregnancy for participants in this selected sub-cohort using an LC-ESI-MS/MS system.

# Gut

#### 50 Sample collection

- 51 Stool samples were collected and stored in ice boxes at the hospital or home by the
- 52 participants under instructions and then transferred to the hospital to store at -40 °C
- 53 within 24 hours. A detailed standard operating procedure was given to the pregnant
- 54 women for instructions on fecal sample collection, temporary storage, and
- 55 transportation to the hospital. Stocks of frozen fecal samples were transported by dry
- 56 ice every 2 to 3 months to the laboratory in Huazhong University of Science and
- 57 Technology and stored at -80 °C before further processing.
- 58

#### 59 Questionnaires and clinical data collection

- 60 All participants completed a set of structured questionnaires on sociodemographic
- 61 information, lifestyle and behaviors (such as cigarette smoking and alcohol drinking),
- 62 diet (including recent daily intakes of major food groups), history of pregnancy and
- 63 births, history of diseases and medications, and family history of diseases.
- 64 Anthropometric and blood pressure measurements were collected on site using
- 65 devices according to standard protocols. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by
- 66 dividing the weight in kilograms by the square of height in meters. Underweight,
- overweight and obesity was defined as BMI<18.5, BMI  $\ge$  24 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and BMI  $\ge$  28
- 68 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. Pregnancy complications (e.g., gestational diabetes mellitus) and
- 69 birth outcomes were extracted from the electronic clinical records.
- 70

## 71 Bioinformatic analyses

#### 72 Gut mycobiome analysis using ITS2 rRNA gene sequencing data

- 73 Microbial DNA was extracted using the E.Z.N.A.® soil DNA Kit (Omega Bio-tek,
- 74 Norcross, GA, U.S.) according to manufacturer's protocols. The final DNA
- 75 concentration and purification were determined by NanoDrop 2000 UV-vis
- 76 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, USA), and DNA quality was
- checked by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. The ITS2 hypervariable regions of the
- <sup>78</sup> fungal ITS rRNA gene were amplified with primers ITS3F:
- 79 GCATCGATGAAGAACGCAGC and ITS4R: TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC by

81	pooled in equimolar and paired-end sequenced $(2 \times 250)$ on an Illumina NovaSeq
82	platform (Illumina, San Diego, USA) according to the standard protocols by Majorbio
83	Bio-Pharm Technology Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China).
84	
85	The mean sequencing depth and its standard deviation for all samples were 118,177
86	and 7955, respectively. It is worth noting that within the sub-cohort analyzed
87	longitudinally, the number of sequencing reads remained relatively consistent across
88	trimesters. Specifically, the mean (SD) sequencing depths were 117,906 (8350),
89	118,882 (6125), and 116,688 (9198) for samples collected during the first, second,
90	and third trimester of pregnancy, respectively. The demultiplexed ITS2 sequences
91	were denoised and grouped into amplicon sequence variants (ASVs; i.e., 100% exact
92	sequence match) using DADA2.[1] During the process, marker gene Illumina
93	sequence data and low-quality regions of the sequences were detected and filtered.
94	We trimmed 28 bases (primer and barcode) from the beginning of the sequences. We
95	also truncated the sequences at the 245 bases as the quality dropped around position
96	245 (median of quality score <30). The ASV features that were presented in only one
97	sample were excluded as suggested by the Qiime2 tutorial, based on the suspicion that
98	these may not represent real biological diversity but rather PCR or sequencing errors.
99	The individual ASVs were taxonomically classified based on the UNITE (version 8.2,
100	99%) database using the VSEARCH tool wrapped in QIIME2 (version 2021.2).[2] $\alpha$ -
101	diversity analysis was conducted through the q2-diversity plugin at the sampling
102	depth of 10000. $\alpha$ -diversity was estimated by Shannon's diversity index (or Shannon;
103	a quantitative measure of community richness and evenness), Observed Features (or

thermocycler PCR system (GeneAmp 9700, ABI, USA). Purified amplicons were

104 Richness; a qualitative measure of community richness), and Faith's PD (or Faith's

105 Phylogenetic Diversity; a qualitative measure of community richness that incorporates

106 phylogenetic relationships between the observed features).

107

#### 108 Gut bacteria analysis using 16S rRNA gene sequencing data

109 For the 16S analysis, raw sequencing reads were merge-paired, quality filtered and

110	analyzed using QIIME2 (version 2021.2). As described above, we used DADA2
111	denoised-paired plugin in QIIME2 to process the fastq files. We filtered the features
112	that were present in only a single sample. The taxonomies of ASVs were subsequently
113	determined using the Naive Bayes classifier trained on the Sliva_138 99% reference
114	database. $\alpha$ -diversity analysis was conducted at the sampling depth of 10000. A
115	diversity of the gut bacteria was estimated by the indices the same as ITS2 data.
116	
117	Microbial functional profiling using metagenome data
118	Microbial DNA extractions were carried out by a standardized CTAB procedure.
119	DNA concentration was measured using Qubit dsDNA Assay Kit in Qubit 2.0
120	Fluorometer (Life Technologies, CA, USA). For DNA library preparation, a total
121	amount of $1\mu g$ DNA per sample was used. In addition, the NEBNext Ultra DNA
122	Library Prep Kit (NEB, USA) was used following manufacturer's recommendations
123	and index codes were added to attribute sequences to each sample. The DNA samples
124	were fragmented by sonication to a size of approximately 350 bp. Then, the DNA
125	fragments were end-polished, A-tailed, and ligated with the full-length adaptor for
126	Illumina sequencing with further PCR amplification. Thereafter, PCR products were
127	purified (AMPure XP system) and libraries were analyzed for size distribution by
128	Agilent2100 Bioanalyzer and quantified using real-time PCR. The clustering of the
129	index-coded samples was performed on a cBot Cluster Generation System according
130	to the manufacturer's instructions. Lastly, sequencing was performed using the
131	Illumina NovaSeq platform at Shanghai Personal Biotechnology Co. Ltd. (Shanghai,
132	China) and 150 bp paired-end reads were generated.
133	
134	Next, raw sequencing reads were first quality-controlled with KneadData toolkit
135	(v0.10.0): 1) to trim the reads by quality score from the 5' end and 3' end with a
136	quality threshold of 20; 2) removed read pairs when either read was $< 50$ bp,
137	contained "N" bases or quality score mean below 30; and 3) deduplicate the reads.
138	Reads aligning to the human genome (H. sapiens, UCSC hg38) were removed via
139	KneadData integrated with Bowtie2 tool (v2.4.5).

140 Functional profiling was performed with HUMAnN3 (v3.0.1), which maps sample 141 reads against the sample-specific reference database to quantify gene presence and 142 abundance in a species-stratified manner, with unmapped reads further used in a 143 translated search against Uniref90 to include taxonomically unclassified but 144 functionally distinct gene family abundances. We extracted the Uniref90 gene 145 families of gut bacteria for downstream analyses. The Uniref90 gene families were then converted into relative abundances of unstratified pathway. 146 147 148 Serum metabolomics profiling 149 The sample extracts were analyzed using an LC-ESI-MS/MS system (UPLC, ExionLC AD, https://sciex.com.cn/; MS, QTRAP® System, https://sciex.com/) at 150 151 Wuhan Metware Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Wuhan, China). LIT and triple quadrupole 152 (QQQ) scans were acquired on a triple quadrupole-linear ion trap mass spectrometer (QTRAP), QTRAP® LC-MS/MS System, equipped with an ESI Turbo Ion-Spray 153 interface, operating in positive and negative ion mode and controlled by Analyst 1.6.3 154 155 software (Sciex). Instrument tuning and mass calibration were performed with 10 and 100 µmol/L polypropylene glycol solutions in QQQ and LIT modes, respectively. 156 157 QQQ scans were acquired as MRM experiments with collision gas (nitrogen) set to 5 158 psi. Declustering potential (DP) and collision energy (CE) for individual MRM 159 transitions was done with further DP and CE optimization. A specific set of MRM 160 transitions were monitored for each period according to the metabolites eluted within this period. The mass spectrum data were processed using Software Analyst 1.6.3. 161 The metabolite identification was conducted by referencing standards in self-built 162 metware database and public databases. The identified metabolites were matched with 163 164 the parent ion mass-to-charge ratio, the fragment ion mass-to-charge ratio as well as 165 retention time of their corresponding standards. The accuracy of metabolite 166 characterization was classified into three levels, depending on the presence of isotope internal standards or matching score with the secondary mass spectrometry. The 167 matching score>0.7 indicates the level 1 accuracy of metabolite characterization, 168 while 0.5-0.7 and <0.5 indicate the level 2 and level 3 accuracy, respectively. 169

# 170 Statistical analysis

171	Determinants of gut fungal composition among pregnant women
172	The gut mycobiome data were analyzed at the genus level. We investigated the
173	determinants of the gut fungal composition using the data collected from 4800
174	participants during the first trimester, with each participant contributing only 1 stool
175	sample. We used the vegdist function in the R package vegan to calculate the gut
176	fungal Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix. The contribution of 20 environmental
177	variables (including demographics, physiologic traits, diseases, and habitual dietary
178	intakes) to fungal community variation was determined by PERMANOVA analysis
179	using the function adonis2 in <i>vegan</i> .[3] We applied a complete data analysis strategy
180	which excluded 19 participants with missing values for at least one of the
181	environmental variables. The 4800 samples included in this analysis were sequenced
182	in two separate batches. Therefore, we included the batch information as a covariate
183	in the model, to adjust for potential batch effects. The p value was determined through
184	999 permutations.

185

#### 186 Gut fungal and bacterial enterotype clustering

187 The fecal samples (T1, n=4800; T2, n=890; T3, n=850) of ITS2 amplification were 188 clustered into fungal enterotypes by using a partitioning around medoid (PAM) 189 clustering method as those previously described.[4] Briefly, the samples were grouped 190 into clusters with partitioning around medoid (PAM) based on the between-sample 191 Bray-Curtis distance calculated at genus-level. The optimal number of clusters was 192 determined by the silhouette index. The driver genus of each enterotype was 193 determined as the genus with the highest relative abundance in the enterotype. The 194 fecal samples of 16S amplification were clustered into bacterial enterotypes by using 195 the method as that for bacterial enterotype. 196 197 Dynamics of within-sample  $\alpha$  diversity throughout each trimester of pregnancy

- 198 This analysis was conducted in the established sub-cohort of 750 participants who had
- 199 available gut fungi  $\alpha$  diversity data for each trimester of pregnancy. We utilized

200	paired t-tests to assess the statistical significance of the changes in fungal $\alpha$ diversity
201	between T1 and T2, as well as between T2 and T3 independently.

203 Among the 750 participants included in the study to profile changes in gut fungal 204 richness from T1 to T3, data on the estimation of consumption changes in food groups 205 from T1 to T3 based on the FFQ were available for 639 participants. These food 206 groups consisted of rice, steamed bread, noodles, vegetables, meat, eggs, milk, and 207 fruit. The weight of each food group consumed per day was quantified based on the 208 FFQ. We applied a linear regression model to estimate the association between the 209 changes in richness from T1 to T3 and the respective food group. As covariates, we 210 incorporated age, pre-pregnancy BMI, interval time between sample collections, 211 parity, and gravidity. An FDR<0.05 was considered statistically significant. 212 213 Loss rate calculations and discriminative genera identification 214 Utilizing the available repeated measurements of gut fungi throughout pregnancy 215 within the designated sub-cohort (n=750), we elucidated the loss rate for each fungal genus as the host underwent progression from T1 to T3. The loss rate for each fungal 216 217 genus was determined by quantifying the proportion of the decline in frequency

observed between T1 and T3.

219

220 To assess the gut fungi enriched or depleted during early or late pregnancy, we

221 conducted an analysis using paired t test analysis. This analysis was based on the

examination of 465 genera that were detected at either T1 or T3. We transformed the

taxa data using the centered log-ratio (CLR) method to address the compositional

nature of the mycobiome data before we perform the paired t test analysis. To

225 determine statistical significance, a false discovery rate (FDR)-adjusted p-value

threshold of less than 0.05 was used.

227 Additionally, we used these 465 fungal genera to construct a machine learning

- framework of LightGBM for predicting the trimester that the samples belong to.[5]
- 229 The construction of prediction model was based on Scikit-learn (v0.15.2), and ten-

230	fold cross validation (CV) was applied. To evaluate binary classification performance,
231	receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analyses were conducted using the R
232	package pROC. We used the SHAP (Shapley Additive exPlanations) to interpret
233	predictions and the importance of each fungal genus to the prediction model is
234	represented using Shapley values.[6]
235	
236	Quantification of intra-individual gut fungi compositional alterations
237	We applied the vegdist function from the R package vegan to calculate the Bray-
238	Curtis distance based on gut fungal genus-level composition to assess intra-individual
239	and inter-individual dissimilarities, respectively.[3] The sub-cohort, consisting of 750
240	participants, was included in this analysis, as they had relevant data throughout each
241	trimester of pregnancy. To determine the intra-individual distance, paired data was
242	used, with the gut mycobiome data at T1 serving as the reference. Subsequently, a
243	Bray-Curtis distance value was calculated for each participant in the sub-cohort,
244	reflecting the extent of gut fungal compositional alterations.
245	We fitted a multivariate regression model to examine the associations of pre-
246	pregnancy overweight status (category variable) or pre-pregnancy BMI (continuous
247	variable, z-score transformed) with the extent of gut fungal compositional alteration
248	within the established sub-cohort. The model was adjusted for potential confounders
249	including age, time interval between sample collection, parity, antibiotics use and
250	pregnancy complications. To examine the potential influence of gut fungal
251	compositional alteration on adverse birth outcomes, multivariate regression models
252	were constructed for preterm delivery, low birthweight, and macrosomia. The
253	aforementioned potential confounders, along with the extent of gut fungal
254	compositional alteration, were considered as exposure variables in these models.
255	
256	With regard to the divergence between individuals, the inter-individual distance was
257	evaluated at various time points (i.e., T1, T2 or T3), separately. At each time point
258	(e.g., T1 or T3), we calculate the average Bray-Curtis distance for each participant
259	compared to all other participants. Thus, at each time point, each participant

Gut

260	possessed a distance	value to reflect	the similarity	of her gu	t fungal	composition	with

- others.
- 262

# 263 Dynamic trajectory of the core gut fungal genera and their relationship with host

264 health status

We conducted a longitudinal trajectory analysis for each core fungal genus in the 265 established sub-cohort comprising 750 participants. For each core fungi, every 266 267 participant had three measurements recorded at different time points, namely T1, T2, 268 and T3. Therefore, the trajectory of a genus within an individual participant could be 269 characterized by a vector consisting of three component values corresponding to these 270 time points. Thereafter, we applied the vegdist function from the R package vegan to 271 calculate the Canberra distance metric.[3] We performed PERMANOVA analysis 272 using the function adonis2 in vegan, to assess the association of between-individual variation in the trajectory of each fungal genus with pre-pregnancy overweight status 273 or adverse birth outcomes. The p value was determined through 999 permutations and 274 275 an FDR-adjusted p value of less than 0.05 was considered indicative of statistical 276 significance.

277

# Network analysis among gut fungal enterotype, functional pathways and host serum metabolites

280 The network analysis was conducted among the participants who had available

281 metagenome data during the first trimester of pregnancy. After excluding 35

282 participants who had antibiotics exposure within 2weeks before stool sample

collection, this analysis included a total of 1001 women. We firstly performed

284 Kruskal-Wallis test to identify pathways whose distribution varied across fungal

- enterotypes. Thereafter, we performed post-hoc pair-wise comparison to defined
- which enterotype was enrich with the identified pathways. We fitted multivariate
- 287 regression models to examine the associations of identified pathways with host serum
- 288 metabolites. Here, we adjusted for potential confounders including age, gestation
- 289 week, parity and pre-pregnancy BMI. Both the fungi and pathway data were

290	standardized using z-score before the regression analysis. An FDR-adjusted p value of
291	less than 0.05 was considered indicative of statistical significance.

## 293 Covarying relationship between gut fungi alterations and host metabolic changes

- 294 We investigated the relationship between alterations in gut fungi and changes in host
- 295 metabolism among 709 participants. These participants had available gut mycobiome
- 296 sequencing data as well as serum metabolome data during each trimester of
- 297 pregnancy. To address the compositional nature of the mycobiome data, we first
- applied the centered log-ratio (CLR) method to transform the taxa data. Next, we
- 299 calculated the changes in each core fungus from T1 to T3 for each participant.
- 300 Additionally, we calculated the changes in signal intensity of each serum metabolite
- 301 from T1 to T3 for each participant. This enabled us to construct a matrix of gut fungi
- 302 alterations and a matrix of host metabolic changes. To investigate the overall
- 303 relationship between gut fungi alterations and host metabolic changes, we conducted
- 304 Procrustes analysis in R using the 'vegan' R package. Procrustes. The p-value was
- 305 generated based on 999 permutations.[3]
- 306
- 307 Furthermore, we explored the covarying relationship between individual fungal
- 308 genera and individual serum metabolites. For this analysis, we applied pairwise
- 309 Spearman correlation analysis to each genus-serum metabolite pair in the
- 310 aforementioned dataset. We considered a false discovery rate (FDR)-adjusted p-value
- of less than 0.05 as indicative of statistical significance.
- 312

#### 313 Pre-pregnancy overweight status impacts the metabolic changes during

#### 314 pregnancy

- 315 For those participants with available serum metabolomics data throughout each
- trimester of pregnancy, we had constructed a matrix of host metabolic changes. Based
- 317 on this matrix, the *vegdist* function from the R package *vegan* was utilized to calculate
- the Canberra distance metric.[3] To assess the contribution of pre-pregnancy
- 319 overweight to the variation in metabolic changes between individuals, a

PERMANOVA analysis was conducted using the *adonis2* function from the vegan
package.[3] The significance of the results was determined using 999 permutations,
and a false discovery rate (FDR)-adjusted p-value of less than 0.05 was considered
statistically significant.

324

325 To identify the distinct metabolic alterations in underweight and overweight pregnant women, we classified the participants into three groups based on their pre-pregnancy 326 327 weight status: underweight, normal weight, and overweight/obese. Paired t-tests were 328 conducted for each serum metabolite measured at T1 and T3 within each group. A 329 FDR-adjusted p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. 330 Metabolic changes that were observed as significant solely among the underweight 331 group, but not in the other groups, were defined as unique metabolic changes in 332 pregnant women who were underweight prior to pregnancy. Similarly, significant 333 metabolic changes observed solely among the overweight/obese group were defined 334 as unique metabolic changes in pregnant women who were overweight/obese prior to pregnancy. 335

336

#### 337 Clinical impact of the gut mycobiome during pregnancy

338 Logistic regression was used to evaluate the association between each core fungal 339 genus, specifically measured during the first trimester of pregnancy, and the 340 occurrence of pregnancy complications (GDM and PIH), as well as adverse birth outcomes (e.g., preterm delivery, macrosomia, and low birthweight). The model 341 342 included age, pre-pregnancy BMI, parity as covariates. Specifically, when examining 343 GDM or PIH as exposures, the model was adjusted for these variables. Additionally, 344 when fungal genera were included as exposures, the fungal genera data were z-score 345 standardized and the model was further adjusted for the gestational week 346 corresponding to stool sample collection and the batch of sequencing. 347 348 The analysis pertaining to pregnancy complications was conducted among 4606

349 participants who possessed gut fungi sequencing data at T1 and information regarding

12

Gut

350	pregnancy complications. Likewise, the analysis concerning birth outcomes was						
351	performed among 4656 participants who had gut fungi sequencing data at T1 and						
352	information on birth outcomes. In both analyses, a complete data analysis strategy						
353	was implemented for variables with an exceptionally low occurrence of missing						
354	values, which consequently excluded 3 participants. For the variable "gestational						
355	week at stool sample collection," which was missing for 278 participants, a multiple						
356	imputation strategy was employed to impute these missing values. As a result, the						
357	logistic regression model for pregnancy complications consisted of 4603 participants,						
358	while the logistic regression model for adverse birth outcomes encompassed 4653						
359	participants.						
360							
361	For pregnancy complications that exhibited significant associations with both gut						
362	fungi and adverse birth outcomes, we conducted mediation analysis to investigate the						
363	potential mediation effect of pregnancy complications on the link between the						
364	mycobiome and adverse birth outcomes. All statistical analyses were performed using						
365	Stata version 15 or R version 4.0.2.						
366							
367	References						
368	1 Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, et al. DADA2: High-resolution sample						
369	inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods. 2016;13:581-3.						
370	2 Bolyen E, Rideout JR, Dillon MR, et al. Reproducible, interactive, scalable and						
371	extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. Nat Biotechnol. 2019;37:852–7.						
372	3. Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P.R., O'Hara, R.B.,						

- Simpson, G.L., Sólymos, P., Stevens, M.H.H. & Wagner, H., vegan: Community
- 374 Ecology Package, 2012, Software. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan.
- 375 4. Arumugam M, Raes J, Pelletier E, et al. Enterotypes of the human gut microbiome.
- Nature. 2011;473:174-80. 376

- 5. Ke, G., Meng, Q., Finley, T., Wang, T., Chen, W., Ma, W., Ye, Q., Liu T.Y. (2017) 377
- LightGBM: a highly efficient gradient boosting decision tree. NIPS'17: Proceedings 378
- 379 of the 31st International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems.

380 3149–3157.

- 381 6. Lundberg, S.M., Lee, S.I. (2017) A unified approach to interpreting model
- 382 predictions. NIPS'17: Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Neural
- 383 Information Processing Systems. 4768–4777.

384

	Candida-dominated enterotype (n=907)	Aspergillus-dominated enterotype (n=2723)	Saccharomyces-dominated enterotype (n=1170)	$p^*$
Age (year)	26.4 (3.6)	26.3 (3.6)	26.5 (3.5)	0.246
Pre-pregnancy BMI	20.8 (2.9)	20.9 (2.9)	21.0 (3.1)	0.343
Gestation week (wk)	10.2 (2.1)	10.2 (2.0)	10.1 (2.0)	0.374
Time to delivery (day)	198.2 (16.1)	198.4 (15.5)	198.5 (15.6)	0.940
Parity				0.013
Primiparous	54.0%	57.3%	60.5%	
Overweight status				0.249
Overweight or obese	21.8	19.2	19.7	
Normal weight	65.1	67.6	65.3	
Underweight	13.1	13.3	15	
Steam bread consumption				< 0.001
Ever (during the past 1 year)	46.9%	47.3%	54.2%	
Drinking				0.070
Ever (during the past 1 year)	22.4%	22.0%	18.9%	
Tea consumption				0.024
Ever (during the past 1 year)	34.0%	33.7%	29.5%	
Coffee consumption				0.057
Ever (during the past 1 year)	24.6%	26.6%	23.1%	
Milk consumption				0.564
never	19.2%	21.0%	19.7%	
<1 / day	42.9%	42.9%	42.1%	
≥1/day	38.0%	36.1%	38.2%	

Table S1: Characteristics of demographic, clinical and dietary factors stratified by early-pregnancy fungal enterotypes

\* One-way ANOVA was applied to examine the significance of difference between groups for continuous variables, while chi-square test was used for category variables.

Gut
-----

Table 52. The association between enanges in consumption of food groups during pregnancy and attrations in gut fungar reintess				
Exposure (changes in consumption of food groups)	Beta coefficient	95% CI	р	FDR
Rice (per 50g/day)	-3.20	(-5.75, -0.64)	0.014	0.11
Steamed bread (per 1 bread/day)	-6.38	(-12.9, 0.14)	0.055	0.15
Noodle (per 50g/day)	-5.06	(-10.63, 0.50)	0.074	0.15
Vegetables (per 50g/day)	1.20	(-9.82, 3.22)	0.243	0.39
Meat (per 50g/day)	1.45	(-3.48, 6.37)	0.564	0.64
Egg (per 1 egg/day)	7.33	(0.26, 14.41)	0.042	0.15
Milk (per 50g/day)	0.01	(-0.01, 0.03)	0.51	0.64
Fruit (per 50g/day)	-0.30	(-1.89, 1.29)	0.712	0.71

Table S2. The association between changes in consumption of food groups during pregnancy and alterations in gut fungal richness\*

\* Covariates included in the regression model: age, pre-pregnancy BMI, interval time between sample collections, parity, and gravidity.

639 out of the 750 with available data on the estimation of consumption changes in food groups from T1 to T3 based on the FFQ were included.